Asymptotic Safety of nonlinear O(N)-Models

A. Wipf

Theoretisch-Physikalisches Institut, FSU Jena

collaboration with FRG: Raphael Flore and Omar Zanusso Lattice: Daniel Körner and Bjoern Wellegehausen

ERGE 2014

26. September 2014





- 2 Nonlinear O(N)-Models
- FRG for Nonlinear O(N) Nodels
 - Nonlinear O(N) Lattice-Models
- 5 Algorithmic Aspects





Asymptotic Safety

- nontrivial renormalization UV-fixed point with small number of IR-relevant (UV-attractive) directions
- UV-completion for scalar field theory
- Quantum Gravity could be asymptotically safe accumulating evidence in past years, based on FRG

K. Wilson and J. Kogut, 1974

S. Weinberg, 1976

Conjecture: renormalizable and asymptotically free in *d* dimensions \Rightarrow asymptotic safe in *d* + ϵ dimensions s. Weinberg



• linear O(N) models in $2 \le d < 4$ dimensions

• gravity in $2 + \epsilon$ dimensions

• gauge theories in *d* > 4 dimensions?

4-Fermi models in 3 dimensions (FRG)

- nonlinear O(N) models in 3 dimensions (FRG)
 - background field method, covariant quantisation, ...
 - covariant fourth-order calculations
- approximations \Longrightarrow

support from different nonperturbative methods



seit 1558



Wetterich, Canet et al., Litim, Zappala

Janssen, Gies

S. Weinberg, 1979

lattice: Raggio, Knechtli

Codello, Percacci, Zanusso, Fabbrichesi, Tonero B. Flore, O. Zanusso, av 2013

Nonlinear O(N)-Models

target-space = sphere: n ∈ ℝ^N and n ⋅ n = 1
classical action

$${old S} = \int \mathrm{d}^d x \, \partial_\mu n(x) \cdot \partial^\mu n(x)$$

- N = 4: effective model for chiral phase transition of (2+1) QCD
- N = 3: Heisenberg model for ferromagnetism
- N = 2: shows Kosterlitz-Thouless PT in d = 2
- *N* = 1: ubiquitous Ising model
- d = 2: perturbatively renormalizable
 O(3)-model is toy model for QCD: asymptotic freedom, instantons, dynamical mass-generation, ...



FRG for nonlinear O(N) models

- gemetric formulation: nonlinear curved target space (cp. gravity) split φ = φ + ξ has no geometric meaning instead: φ(φ, ξ) with geometric objects φ and ξ (cp. gravity)
 configuration energy M = (configuration energy M = (CN-1))
- configuration space $\mathcal{M} \equiv \{ \varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \to S^{N-1} \}$





Geometry of target space

• covariant *classical* action

$$S[\varphi] = rac{\zeta}{2} \int \mathrm{d}^d x \; h_{ab}(\varphi) \partial_\mu \varphi^a \partial^\mu \varphi^b$$

Ricci curvature R_{ab} = (N − 2)h_{ab} → dependence on N
 pull back of covariant derivative

$$\nabla_{\mu} \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{a}} \equiv \partial_{\mu} \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{a}} + \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{\mathbf{b}} \Gamma_{\mathbf{b}}{}^{\mathbf{a}}{}_{\mathbf{c}} \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{c}} \Rightarrow \triangle$$

ullet ~ Vilkovisky-connection in gravity and gauge theories



Covariant formulation

- geodesic $\varphi(s)$ from background-field φ to field ϕ
- $\varphi(s=0) = \varphi$ and $\varphi(s=1) = \phi$
- tangent vectors $\xi^a(s)$, set $\xi^a(0) = \xi^a$
- derivative along geodesic $\nabla_s = \xi^a(s) \nabla_a$
- functional of field

Mukhi

$$F[\phi] = \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \frac{\mathrm{d}^n}{\mathrm{d}s^n} F[\varphi(s)] \Big|_{s=0} = \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \nabla_s^n F[\varphi(s)] \Big|_{s=0}$$
$$= \sum_{n\geq 0} F_{(a_1,\dots,a_n)}^n [\varphi] \xi^{a_1} \cdots \xi^{a_n} = F[\varphi,\xi]$$

• $F^n_{(a_1,\ldots,a_n)}$ in terms of curvature and its covariant derivatives



local action up to fourth order in derivatives

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{k}^{s}[\phi] &= \frac{1}{2} \int d^{d}x \left(\zeta_{k} h_{ab} \partial_{\mu} \phi^{a} \partial^{\mu} \phi^{b} + \alpha_{k} h_{ab} \Delta \phi^{a} \Delta \phi^{b} \right. \\ &+ T_{abcd}(\phi) \partial_{\mu} \phi^{a} \partial^{\mu} \phi^{b} \partial_{\nu} \phi^{c} \partial^{\nu} \phi^{d} \right) \Gamma_{k}[\phi(\varphi, \xi)] \\ T_{abcd} &= L_{1,k} h_{a(c} h_{d)b} + L_{2,k} h_{ab} h_{cd} \end{split}$$

wave function renormalization for vector fluctuation

$$\Gamma_{k}[\varphi,\xi] = \Gamma_{k}^{s}[\phi(\varphi, Z_{k}^{1/2}\xi)]$$

bi-field cutoff action

$$\Delta S_k[\varphi,\xi] = \frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{d}^d x \, \xi^a \mathcal{R}^k_{ab}[\varphi] \xi^b$$



• cutoff operator $\mathcal{R}_{ab}^{k}[\varphi] = Z_{k}h_{ab}R_{k}[\Delta]$

plug into flow equation

$$k\partial_k \Gamma_k[\varphi,\xi] = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{k\partial_k \mathcal{R}_k[\varphi]}{\Gamma_k^{(0,2)}[\varphi,\xi] + \mathcal{R}_k[\varphi])}\right)$$

Γ^(0,2)_k[φ,0] is 4th order elliptic differential operator
 adapted 4th order cutoff-operator

$$R_{k}(\Delta) = \left[\zeta_{k}(k^{2} - \Delta) + \alpha_{k}(k^{2} - \Delta^{4})\right]\theta(k^{2} - \Delta)$$

 \Rightarrow off-diagonal heat kernel method

heat kernel expansion, Laplace/Mellin-transform
 ⇒ flow of couplings, β-functions, anomalous dimension, ...



Some results

two coupling O(3)-model has fixed point in (ζ_k, α_k)-plane
arrows point to UV





three coupling O(3)-model has fixed point in (ζ_k, α_k, L₁)-space
slice α = 0, one irrelevant direction



- critical exponent ν(N) can be estimated
- 4th operator ∝ L₂: fixed points disappears h_{ab}h_{cd}∂_μφ^a∂_μφ^b∂_νφ^c∂_νφ^d
- same with exp. regulator
- reappears in higher order truncation (phase space flow)



Nonlinear O(N) Models on Lattice

• $n^2 = 1 \Rightarrow$ derivative expansion for effective action

$$S[n] = \sum_{lpha=0}^{3} g_{lpha} N S_{lpha}[n] + \mathcal{O}(\partial^6), \quad n^2 = 1$$

• all operators with \leq four derivatives

$$egin{aligned} &S_0 = -\int \mathrm{d}^d x \; n \cdot arDelta n \ &S_1 = \int \mathrm{d}^d x \; n \cdot arDelta^2 n \ &S_2 = \int \mathrm{d}^d x \; (n \cdot arDelta n)^2 \ &S_3 = \int \mathrm{d}^d x \; (n \cdot \partial_\mu \partial^
u n) (n \cdot \partial^\mu \partial_
u n) \end{aligned}$$



standard discretization

- RG transformation: field *n* on fine grid $(N, a) \implies$ averaged field *n'* on coarser grid (N' = N/b, a' = ba)
- physical IR-cutoff is fixed, UV-cutoff lowered $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda' = \Lambda/b$
- $g_{lpha} o g_{lpha}'$ due to quantum fluctuation with scales in $[\Lambda', \Lambda]$
- blockspin transformation: draw averaged field n' according to

$$\mathcal{P}(n_{\mathsf{X}}') \propto \exp\left(oldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}(oldsymbol{g}_lpha) \, n_{\mathsf{X}}' \!\cdot \sum_{oldsymbol{y} \in \Box_{\mathsf{X}}} n_{oldsymbol{y}}
ight)$$

• $C(g_{\alpha}) > 0$ such that truncation errors in MCRG are minimized



Monte Carlo Renormalization Group (MCRG)

classical MCRG: define blocked observables

- blockspin transformation with blocking kernel ...
- localize fixed point
- linearize MCRG-transformation in vicinity of fixed point
 critical exponents
- want to compute (truncated) effective action
- inverse MC + Schwinger-Dyson eqs. or demon method
 M. Creutz, Hasenbusch et al., Jena group



Demon method

- efficient method to measure couplings β_{α}
- (truncated) action of system (\propto heat bath)

$$S_{\mathrm{Sys}} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \beta_{\alpha} S_{\alpha}$$

• add *n* auxiliary demons (thermometers) with action

$$S_{\mathrm{D}} = \sum_{\alpha} \beta_{\alpha} E_{\alpha=1}^{n}, \quad E_{\alpha} \in [0, E_{\mathrm{max}}]$$

• partition function of joint systems

$$Z_{\text{total}} = \int_{0}^{E_{\text{max}}} \prod_{\alpha} \mathrm{d}E_{\alpha} \int \mathcal{D}\phi \; \mathrm{e}^{-S_{\text{Sys}} - S_{\text{D}}}$$



• factorization \Rightarrow averages of "Demon-energies"

$$egin{aligned} \langle E_lpha
angle &= -rac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}eta_lpha}\log\int_0^{E_{\mathrm{max}}}\mathrm{d}E\,\mathrm{e}^{-eta_lpha E}\ &= rac{1}{eta_lpha} - rac{E_{\mathrm{max}}}{\mathrm{e}^{eta_lpha E_{\mathrm{max}}}-1}pprox rac{1}{eta_lpha} \end{aligned}$$

• $\langle E_{\alpha} \rangle$ from simulations $\Rightarrow \beta_{\alpha}$



implementation of RG transformation

- generate configuration in equilibrium on fine grid for some $\{\beta_{\alpha}\}$
- blocking of configuration ⇒ configuration on coarser grid distributed with e<sup>-S_{Sys} for some {β'_α}
 </sup>
- microcanonical simulation of joint system on coarser grid
 - begin with blocked configuration and demon energies extracted from previous runs
 - calculate $\langle E_{\alpha} \rangle \Longrightarrow \beta'_{\alpha}$
- generate configuration on fine grid accoring for $\{\beta'_{\alpha}\}$
- blocking of this configuration ...



Some interesting algorithmic aspects

• assume linear dependence $C(g) = \sum c_{\alpha}g_{\alpha}$ (~ regulator)

• truncation: in general $\xi' \neq \xi/b$ (*b* decimation length)

fine tune c_{α} such that $\xi' \approx \xi/b$

- c_{α} depend on couplings and N!
- near nontrivial fixed point: g₁, g₂, g₃ ≪ g₀
 ⇒ only fine tuning of c₀ necessary
- not so near Gaussian fixed point
- optimal choice: difficult in *d* = 2
- more robust in 3 dimensions

Körner, Wellegehausen, Wipf



β -function in 2 dimensions

• optimal $c_0 = 2.8 \Rightarrow$

 β -function tends to large-*N* result log(2)/(6 π)

- $c_0 < 2.8$: one fixed point at vanishing coupling
- $c_0 > 2.8$: additional fixed point at finite coupling: truncation artifact





O(3) model in 3 dimensions

- simple truncation $S = (g_0 N) S_0$; blocking $32^3 \rightarrow 16^3$ sufficient
- on fine grid: $g_0^c = 0.22975(25)$
- thermodynamic limit: $g_0^c = 0.2287462(7)$

Campostrini et al. 2002)





Nontrivial FP in simple truncation Ng_0S_0

nontrivial fixed point "for all" c_0 $\beta(g_0^*) = 0$ $g_0^* = 0.2310(5)$ $g_0 < g_0^* \rightarrow$ disordered GFP $g_0 > g_0^* \rightarrow$ ordered phase





Include higher-order derivative terms

- fixed point stable?
- number of relevant directions?
- 2 \rightarrow 2 truncation: $c_0 = 3.1$ and $c_1 = 2.5$





Vicinity of UV fixed point

• 2 \rightarrow 2 truncation: $g_0^* = 0.119(1)$ and $g_1^* = 0.0164(2)$ • position of fixed points almost volume-independent





truncation with S_0, S_1, S_2

asymptotic safety: UV-attractive (IR-relevant) directions exhausted





detailed picture of S_0, S_1, S_2 truncation

one UV-attractive direction

 $g_0^* = 0.13(1)$ $g_1^* = 0.016(1)(1)$ $g_2^* = -0.0015(5)$





detailed picture of S_0, S_1, S_3 truncation





detailed picture of S_0, S_1, S_2, S_3 truncation

one UV-attractive direction $g_0^* = 0.13(1)$ $g_1^* = 0.016(1)(1)$ $g_2^* = -0,0015(5)$

 $g_3^* = -0,0015(5)$



No instabilities as in FRG-approach seen!

seit1558

Andreas Wipf (TPI, FSU Jena)

Asymptotic Safety of nonlinear O(N)-Models

Critical exponents



upper left $heta_{rel} = 1.61(4)$ u = 0.62(3) $u_{true} = 0.7112(5)$ upper right $heta_{irr} = -0.44$

Asymptotic Safety of nonlinear O(N)-Models

0.016

0.0158

0.0156

0

-0.1

-0.2

-0.3

-0.4

-0.5

-0.6 -0.7

-0.8

-0.9

-1

-1.1

1.85

1.8 1.75

1.7

1.6 1.55

1.5

1.45

1.4

1.35

0.12

 g_0

0.122 0.124

0.114 0.116 0.118

1.65 _{θr}

 θ_{ir}

Results for critical exponent ν

Method	ν	$ u/ u_{MCHT} $
$1 ightarrow 1$ trunc. ($c_0 = 3.35$)	0.51(1)	\sim 0.72
$1 ightarrow$ 2 trunc. ($c_0 = 3.35$)	0.55(2)	~ 0.77
$2 ightarrow 2$ trunc. ($c_0 = 3.1, \ c_1 = 2.5$)	0.62(3)	\sim 0.87
$2 ightarrow 2$ trunc. ($c_0 = 3.4, \ c_1 = 1.0$)	0.66(4)	\sim 0.93
$3 \rightarrow 3$ trunc. ($c_0 = 3.1, c_1 = 2.5, c_2 = 0$)	0.64(3)	\sim 0.90
FRG	0.704	\sim 0.99
MCHT	0.7112(5)	1
MC	0.7116(10)	\sim 1
RG	0.706	\sim 0.99
HT	0.715(3)	~ 1



Dependence on N

- optimal c_{α} in blocking kernel depends on N
- qualitatively similar flow diagrams for N = 3, 4, 5, ...
- position of UV-FP varies with N
- critical exponents: comparison with large-N expansions comparison with RG-approach



Flow diagrams and critical exponents for N > 3



O(6)-model



Critical exponent ν

N	2	3	4	5	6
$1 \rightarrow 1$ truncation	0.42	0.51	0.57	0.63	0.65
$2 \rightarrow 2$ truncation	0.64(4)	0.66(4)	0.71(5)	0.78(6)	0.81(6)
FRG	-	0.704	0.833	-	0.895
HT exp.	0.677(3)	0.715(3)	0.750(3)	-	0.804(3)
RG exp.	0.607	0.706	0.738	0.766	0.790

N	7	8	9	10
$1 \rightarrow 1$ truncation	0.68	0.65	0.62	0.58
$2 \rightarrow 2$ truncation	0.86(7)	0.84(7)	0.89(8)	
FRG	-	0.912	-	0.920
HT exp.	-	0.840(3)	-	0.867(4)
RG exp.	0.811	0.830	0.845	0.859



Conclusion

- FRG detects nontrivial UV fixed point for every N
- stability problem of FRG with one 4th-order operator (background-field method? spectral adjustment?)
- fixed point reappears with inclusions of higher derivatives
- in MCRG approach no stability problems (different truncation)
- FRG and MCRG in qualitative agreement
- results sufficient for global picture on flow diagram

existence UV-fixed point for $3 \le N \le 15$ firmly established by FRG and stable lattice results

thanks, also to Nikos

