Convergence of Derivative Expansion in Supersymmetric QM

M. Heilmann, T. Hellwig, B.Knorr, A. Wipf, M. Ansorg TPI Jeni arXiv:1409.5650 **7th ERG, Lefkada** Sep 23rd, 2014

• if SUSY realized in nature ⇒ FRG appropriate nonperturbative tool (strong coupling phenomena, coll. condensation phenomena)

 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY QM

- completion of lattice studies (formulation manifest supersymmetric)
- advantage in studying QM: exact results known (E_0, E_{ex})
- single-well potential \Rightarrow easily treated over whole range of couplings
- double-well potential ⇒ allows for tunneling, described in terms of instantons ⇒ challenging



• if SUSY realized in nature ⇒ FRG appropriate nonperturbative tool (strong coupling phenomena, coll. condensation phenomena)

 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY QM

- completion of lattice studies (formulation manifest supersymmetric)
- advantage in studying QM: exact results known (E_0, E_{ex})
- single-well potential \Rightarrow easily treated over whole range of couplings
- double-well potential ⇒ allows for tunneling, described in terms of instantons ⇒ challenging



• if SUSY realized in nature ⇒ FRG appropriate nonperturbative tool (strong coupling phenomena, coll. condensation phenomena)

 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY QM

- completion of lattice studies (formulation manifest supersymmetric)
- advantage in studying QM: exact results known (E_0, E_{ex})
- single-well potential \Rightarrow easily treated over whole range of couplings
- double-well potential ⇒ allows for tunneling, described in terms of instantons ⇒ challenging



• if SUSY realized in nature ⇒ FRG appropriate nonperturbative tool (strong coupling phenomena, coll. condensation phenomena)

 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY OM

- completion of lattice studies (formulation manifest supersymmetric)
- advantage in studying QM: exact results known (E_0, E_{ex})
- single-well potential \Rightarrow easily treated over whole range of couplings
- double-well potential ⇒ allows for tunneling, described in terms of instantons ⇒ challenging



• if SUSY realized in nature ⇒ FRG appropriate nonperturbative tool (strong coupling phenomena, coll. condensation phenomena)

 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY OM

- completion of lattice studies (formulation manifest supersymmetric)
- advantage in studying QM: exact results known (E_0, E_{ex})
- single-well potential \Rightarrow easily treated over whole range of couplings
- double-well potential ⇒ allows for tunneling, described in terms of instantons ⇒ challenging



- 1 verify convergence of supersymmetric "derivative" expansion within FRG framework
- 2 analysis of non-convex classical potentials, tunneling processes ↔ Synatschke et al., '09, '10
- 3 investigate dynamical SUSY breaking \hookrightarrow talk by T. Hellwig
- 4 generalization to more advanced theories



- 1 verify convergence of supersymmetric "derivative" expansion within FRG framework
- 2 analysis of non-convex classical potentials, tunneling processes ↔ Synatschke et al., '09, '10
- 3 investigate dynamical SUSY breaking \hookrightarrow talk by T. Hellwig
- 4 generalization to more advanced theories



- 1 verify convergence of supersymmetric "derivative" expansion within FRG framework
- 2 analysis of non-convex classical potentials, tunneling processes → Synatschke et al., '09, '10
- 3 investigate dynamical SUSY breaking \hookrightarrow talk by T. Hellwig
- 4 generalization to more advanced theories



- 1 verify convergence of supersymmetric "derivative" expansion within FRG framework
- 2 analysis of non-convex classical potentials, tunneling processes → Synatschke et al., '09, '10
- 3 investigate dynamical SUSY breaking \hookrightarrow talk by T. Hellwig
- 4 generalization to more advanced theories



 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY QM

Derivation of Flow Equations in NNLO Results

$\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY QM

• superfield:

 $\Phi = \phi + \bar{\theta}\psi + \bar{\psi}\theta + \bar{\theta}\theta F$

• superpotential:

[1]

• supercharges:

$$Q = i\partial_{\bar{\theta}} + \theta \partial_{\tau}; \quad \bar{Q} = i\partial_{\theta} + \bar{\theta} \partial_{\tau}; \quad \{Q, \bar{Q}\} = 2i\partial_{\tau}$$

 \Rightarrow generate SUSY variations: $\delta_{\epsilon} = \overline{\epsilon}Q - \epsilon Q$

• supercovariant **derivatives**:

$$D = i\partial_{\bar{\theta}} - \theta \partial_{\tau}; \quad \bar{D} = i\partial_{\theta} - \bar{\theta}\partial_{\tau}; \quad \{D, \bar{D}\} = -2i\partial_{\tau}$$



 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY QM

Results

$\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY QM

- superfield:
 - $\Phi = \phi + \bar{\theta}\psi + \bar{\psi}\theta + \bar{\theta}\theta F$
- superpotential:

 $W(\Phi) = W(\phi) + (\bar{\theta}\psi + \bar{\psi}\theta)W'(\phi) + \bar{\theta}\theta(FW'(\phi) - W''(\phi)\bar{\psi}\psi)$

• supercharges:

$$Q = i\partial_{\bar{\theta}} + \theta \partial_{\tau}; \quad \bar{Q} = i\partial_{\theta} + \bar{\theta} \partial_{\tau}; \quad \{Q, \bar{Q}\} = 2i\partial_{\tau}$$

 \Rightarrow generate SUSY variations: $\delta_{\epsilon} = \overline{\epsilon}Q - \epsilon Q$

• supercovariant **derivatives**:

$$D = i\partial_{\bar{\theta}} - \theta \partial_{\tau}; \quad \bar{D} = i\partial_{\theta} - \bar{\theta}\partial_{\tau}; \quad \{D, \bar{D}\} = -2i\partial_{\tau}$$



4/16



N = 1 SUSY QM

Derivation of Flow Equations in NNLO Results

$\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY QM

- superfield:
 - $\Phi = \phi + \bar{\theta}\psi + \bar{\psi}\theta + \bar{\theta}\theta F$
- superpotential:

 $W(\Phi) = W(\phi) + (\bar{\theta}\psi + \bar{\psi}\theta)W'(\phi) + \bar{\theta}\theta(FW'(\phi) - W''(\phi)\bar{\psi}\psi)$

• supercharges:

$$Q = i\partial_{\bar{\theta}} + \theta\partial_{\tau}; \quad \bar{Q} = i\partial_{\theta} + \bar{\theta}\partial_{\tau}; \quad \{Q, \bar{Q}\} = 2i\partial_{\tau}$$

 \Rightarrow generate SUSY variations: $\delta_{\epsilon} = \bar{\epsilon}Q - \epsilon \bar{Q}$

• supercovariant derivatives:

 $D = i\partial_{\bar{\theta}} - \theta\partial_{\tau}; \quad \bar{D} = i\partial_{\theta} - \bar{\theta}\partial_{\tau}; \quad \{D, \bar{D}\} = -2i\partial_{\tau}$





 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY QM

Derivation of Flow Equations in NNLO Results

$\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY QM

- superfield:
 - $\Phi = \phi + \bar{\theta}\psi + \bar{\psi}\theta + \bar{\theta}\theta F$
- superpotential:

 $W(\Phi) = W(\phi) + (\bar{\theta}\psi + \bar{\psi}\theta)W'(\phi) + \bar{\theta}\theta(FW'(\phi) - W''(\phi)\bar{\psi}\psi)$

• supercharges:

$$Q = i\partial_{\bar{\theta}} + \theta\partial_{\tau}; \quad \bar{Q} = i\partial_{\theta} + \bar{\theta}\partial_{\tau}; \quad \{Q, \bar{Q}\} = 2i\partial_{\tau}$$

 \Rightarrow generate SUSY variations: $\delta_{\epsilon} = \bar{\epsilon}Q - \epsilon \bar{Q}$

• supercovariant **derivatives**:

$$D = i\partial_{\bar{\theta}} - \theta \partial_{\tau}; \quad \bar{D} = i\partial_{\theta} - \bar{\theta}\partial_{\tau}; \quad \{D, \bar{D}\} = -2i\partial_{\tau}$$







• bare action:

 $S[\Phi] = \int d\tau d\theta d\bar{\theta} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \Phi K \Phi + iW(\Phi) \right]; \quad K = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{D}D - D\bar{D})$ $S[\phi, F, \psi, \bar{\psi}] = \int d\tau \left[\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 - i\bar{\psi}\dot{\psi} + \frac{1}{2}F^2 + iFW'(\phi) - iW''(\phi)\bar{\psi}\psi \right]$ • on-shell action $\Rightarrow \text{EOM } F = -iW'(\phi):$ $S_{on}[\phi, \psi, \bar{\psi}] = \int d\tau \left[\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 - i\bar{\psi}\dot{\psi} + \frac{1}{2} (W'(\phi))^2 - iW''(\phi)\bar{\psi}\psi \right]$

 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY QM





• bare action:

$$\begin{split} S[\Phi] &= \int d\tau d\theta d\bar{\theta} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \Phi K \Phi + i W(\Phi) \right]; \quad K = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{D}D - D\bar{D}) \\ S[\phi, F, \psi, \bar{\psi}] &= \int d\tau \left[\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 - i \bar{\psi} \dot{\psi} + \frac{1}{2} F^2 + i F W'(\phi) - i W''(\phi) \bar{\psi} \psi \right] \end{split}$$

 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY OM

• on-shell action \Rightarrow EOM $F = -iW'(\phi)$:

 $S_{on}[\phi,\psi,\bar{\psi}] = \int d\tau \left[\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 - i\bar{\psi}\dot{\psi} + \frac{1}{2} \left(W'(\phi) \right)^2 - iW''(\phi)\bar{\psi}\psi \right]$



• bare action:

$$\begin{split} S[\Phi] &= \int d\tau d\theta d\bar{\theta} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \Phi K \Phi + i W(\Phi) \right]; \quad K = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{D}D - D\bar{D}) \\ S[\phi, F, \psi, \bar{\psi}] &= \int d\tau \left[\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 - i \bar{\psi} \dot{\psi} + \frac{1}{2} F^2 + i F W'(\phi) - i W''(\phi) \bar{\psi} \psi \right] \end{split}$$

 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY OM

• on-shell action \Rightarrow EOM $F = -iW'(\phi)$:

$$S_{on}[\phi,\psi,\bar{\psi}] = \int d\tau \left[\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 - i\bar{\psi}\dot{\psi} + \frac{1}{2} \left(W'(\phi) \right)^2 - iW''(\phi)\bar{\psi}\psi \right]$$



• bare action:

$$\begin{split} S[\Phi] &= \int d\tau d\theta d\bar{\theta} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \Phi K \Phi + i W(\Phi) \right]; \quad K = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{D}D - D\bar{D}) \\ S[\phi, F, \psi, \bar{\psi}] &= \int d\tau \left[\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 - i \bar{\psi} \dot{\psi} + \frac{1}{2} F^2 + i F W'(\phi) - i W''(\phi) \bar{\psi} \psi \right] \end{split}$$

 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY QM

• on-shell action $\Rightarrow \text{EOM } F = -iW'(\phi)$:

 $S_{on}[\phi,\psi,\bar{\psi}] = \int d\tau \left[\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 - i\bar{\psi}\dot{\psi} + \frac{1}{2} \left(W'(\phi) \right)^2 - iW''(\phi)\bar{\psi}\psi \right]$ bosonic potential Yukawa-interaction

• SUSY preserved: $E_0 = 0$, highest power of $W(\phi)$ even

• SUSY spont. broken: $E_0 > 0$, highest power of $W(\phi)$ odd

• bare action:

$$\begin{split} S[\Phi] &= \int d\tau d\theta d\bar{\theta} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \Phi K \Phi + i W(\Phi) \right]; \quad K = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{D}D - D\bar{D}) \\ S[\phi, F, \psi, \bar{\psi}] &= \int d\tau \left[\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 - i \bar{\psi} \dot{\psi} + \frac{1}{2} F^2 + i F W'(\phi) - i W''(\phi) \bar{\psi} \psi \right] \end{split}$$

 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY OM

• on-shell action $\Rightarrow \text{EOM } F = -iW'(\phi)$:

 $S_{on}[\phi,\psi,\bar{\psi}] = \int d\tau \left[\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 - i\bar{\psi}\dot{\psi} + \frac{1}{2} \left(W'(\phi) \right)^2 - iW''(\phi)\bar{\psi}\psi \right]$ bosonic potential Yukawa-interaction

- SUSY preserved: $E_0 = 0$, highest power of $W(\phi)$ even
- SUSY spont. broken: $E_0 > 0$, highest power of $W(\phi)$ odd



• bare action:

$$\begin{split} S[\Phi] &= \int d\tau d\theta d\bar{\theta} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \Phi K \Phi + i W(\Phi) \right]; \quad K = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{D}D - D\bar{D}) \\ S[\phi, F, \psi, \bar{\psi}] &= \int d\tau \left[\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 - i \bar{\psi} \dot{\psi} + \frac{1}{2} F^2 + i F W'(\phi) - i W''(\phi) \bar{\psi} \psi \right] \end{split}$$

 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY OM

• on-shell action $\Rightarrow \text{EOM } F = -iW'(\phi)$:

$$S_{on}[\phi,\psi,\bar{\psi}] = \int d\tau \left[\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 - i\bar{\psi}\dot{\psi} + \frac{1}{2} \left(W'(\phi) \right)^2 - iW''(\phi)\bar{\psi}\psi \right]$$

bosonic potential Yukawa-interaction

- SUSY preserved: $E_0 = 0$, highest power of $W(\phi)$ even
- SUSY spont. broken: $E_0 > 0$, highest power of $W(\phi)$ odd

I Formalism II Truncation Scheme III Projection Scheme

I Formalism



Horikoshi et al., '98 Kapoyannis, Tetradis, '00 Zapalla, '01 Weyrauch, '06 Synatschke, Bergner, Gies, Wipf, '09



• derivative expansion in $(K)^n$, off-shell formulation

- truncation of Γ_k in NNLO: $\Gamma_k[\Phi] = \int d\tau d\theta d\bar{\theta} \left[iW_k - \frac{1}{2}Z_kKZ_k + \frac{i}{4}\Omega_kK^2\Phi + \frac{i}{4}Y_k(K\Phi)^2 \right]$
- general form of **regulator functional**: $\Delta S_k[\Phi] = \frac{1}{2} \int d\tau d\theta d\bar{\theta} \Phi R_k(D, \bar{D}) \Phi$ with $R_k(D, \bar{D}) = ir_1(-\partial_\tau^2, k) + Z'_k(\bar{\Phi})^2 r_2(-\partial_\tau^2, k) K$
- ⇒ manifestly supersymmetric cutoff action ΔS_k ; SUSY links regulators, e.g. $(p^2 r_2, r_2, pr_2) \leftrightarrow (\phi, F, \psi/\bar{\psi})$



- derivative expansion in $(K)^n$, off-shell formulation
- truncation of Γ_k in NNLO: $\Gamma_k[\Phi] = \int d\tau d\theta d\bar{\theta} \left[iW_k - \frac{1}{2}Z_kKZ_k + \frac{i}{4}\Omega_kK^2\Phi + \frac{i}{4}Y_k(K\Phi)^2 \right]$
- general form of **regulator functional**: $\Delta S_k[\Phi] = \frac{1}{2} \int d\tau d\theta d\bar{\theta} \Phi R_k(D, \bar{D}) \Phi$ with $R_k(D, \bar{D}) = ir_1(-\partial_{\tau}^2, k) + Z'_k(\bar{\Phi})^2 r_2(-\partial_{\tau}^2, k) K$
- ⇒ manifestly supersymmetric cutoff action ΔS_k ; SUSY links regulators, e.g. $(p^2 r_2, r_2, pr_2) \leftrightarrow (\phi, F, \psi/\bar{\psi})$



- derivative expansion in $(K)^n$, off-shell formulation
- truncation of Γ_k in NNLO: $\Gamma_k[\Phi] = \int d\tau d\theta d\bar{\theta} \left[iW_k - \frac{1}{2}Z_kKZ_k + \frac{i}{4}\Omega_kK^2\Phi + \frac{i}{4}Y_k(K\Phi)^2 \right]$
- general form of **regulator functional**: $\Delta S_k[\Phi] = \frac{1}{2} \int d\tau d\theta d\bar{\theta} \Phi R_k(D, \bar{D}) \Phi$ with $R_k(D, \bar{D}) = ir_1(-\partial_\tau^2, k) + Z'_k(\bar{\Phi})^2 r_2(-\partial_\tau^2, k) K$
- ⇒ manifestly supersymmetric cutoff action ΔS_k ; SUSY links regulators, e.g. $(p^2 r_2, r_2, pr_2) \leftrightarrow (\phi, F, \psi/\bar{\psi})$



- derivative expansion in $(K)^n$, off-shell formulation
- truncation of Γ_k in NNLO: $\Gamma_k[\Phi] = \left[d\tau d\theta d\bar{\theta} \left[iW_k - \frac{1}{2}Z_k K Z_k + \frac{i}{4}\Omega_k K^2 \Phi + \frac{i}{4}Y_k (K\Phi)^2 \right] \right]$
- general form of **regulator functional**: $\Delta S_k[\Phi] = \frac{1}{2} \int d\tau d\theta d\bar{\theta} \Phi R_k(D, \bar{D}) \Phi$ with $R_k(D, \bar{D}) = ir_1(-\partial_\tau^2, k) + Z'_k(\bar{\Phi})^2 r_2(-\partial_\tau^2, k) K$
- ⇒ manifestly supersymmetric cutoff action ΔS_k ; SUSY links regulators, e.g. $(p^2 r_2, r_2, pr_2) \leftrightarrow (\phi, F, \psi/\bar{\psi})$



- derivative expansion in $(K)^n$, off-shell formulation
- truncation of Γ_k in NNLO: $\Gamma_k[\Phi] = \int d\tau d\theta d\bar{\theta} \left[iW_k - \frac{1}{2}Z_k K Z_k + \frac{i}{4}\Omega_k K^2 \Phi + \frac{i}{4}Y_k (K\Phi)^2 \right]$
- general form of **regulator functional**: $\Delta S_k[\Phi] = \frac{1}{2} \int d\tau d\theta d\bar{\theta} \Phi R_k(D, \bar{D}) \Phi$ with $R_k(D, \bar{D}) = ir_1(-\partial_\tau^2, k) + Z'_k(\bar{\Phi})^2 r_2(-\partial_\tau^2, k) K$
- ⇒ manifestly supersymmetric cutoff action ΔS_k ; SUSY links regulators, e.g. $(p^2 r_2, r_2, pr_2) \leftrightarrow (\phi, F, \psi/\bar{\psi})$



III Projection Scheme

•
$$\partial_k \Gamma_k|_{(\partial_\tau \Phi, \psi, \bar{\psi})=0} = \int d\tau \left[i \partial_k W'_k F + \frac{1}{2} \partial_k (Z'_k)^2 F^2 + \frac{i}{4} \partial_k Y'_k F^3 \right]$$

•
$$\frac{\delta}{\delta Q^2} \frac{\delta^2 \partial_k \Gamma_k}{\delta(\delta \phi(Q)) \delta(\delta F(-Q))} \Big|_{\phi; (F, \psi, \bar{\psi}, \delta F, \delta \phi) = 0} = \int d\tau \frac{i}{2} \left[\partial_k (\Omega'_k + Y_k) \right]$$
$$= \int d\tau \frac{i}{2} \left[\partial_k X_k \right]$$

• regulator functions: $r_1 = k$, $r_2 = 0$



III Projection Scheme

•
$$\partial_k \Gamma_k|_{(\partial_\tau \Phi, \psi, \bar{\psi})=0} = \int d\tau \left[i \partial_k W'_k F + \frac{1}{2} \partial_k (Z'_k)^2 F^2 + \frac{i}{4} \partial_k Y'_k F^3 \right]$$

•
$$\frac{\delta}{\delta Q^2} \frac{\delta^2 \partial_k \Gamma_k}{\delta(\delta \phi(Q)) \delta(\delta F(-Q))} \Big|_{\phi; (F, \psi, \bar{\psi}, \delta F, \delta \phi) = 0} = \int d\tau \frac{i}{2} \Big[\partial_k (\Omega'_k + Y_k) \Big]$$
$$= \int d\tau \frac{i}{2} \big[\partial_k X_k \big]$$

• regulator functions: $r_1 = k$, $r_2 = 0$



III Projection Scheme

•
$$\partial_k \Gamma_k|_{(\partial_\tau \Phi, \psi, \bar{\psi})=0} = \int d\tau \left[i \partial_k W'_k F + \frac{1}{2} \partial_k (Z'_k)^2 F^2 + \frac{i}{4} \partial_k Y'_k F^3 \right]$$

•
$$\frac{\delta}{\delta Q^2} \frac{\delta^2 \partial_k \Gamma_k}{\delta (\delta \phi(Q)) \delta (\delta F(-Q))} \Big|_{\phi; (F, \psi, \bar{\psi}, \delta F, \delta \phi) = 0} = \int d\tau \frac{i}{2} \left[\partial_k (\Omega'_k + Y_k) \right]$$
$$= \int d\tau \frac{i}{2} \left[\partial_k X_k \right]$$

• regulator functions: $r_1 = k$, $r_2 = 0$



III Projection Scheme

•
$$\partial_k \Gamma_k|_{(\partial_\tau \Phi, \psi, \bar{\psi})=0} = \int d\tau \left[i \partial_k W'_k F + \frac{1}{2} \partial_k (Z'_k)^2 F^2 + \frac{i}{4} \partial_k Y'_k F^3 \right]$$

•
$$\frac{\delta}{\delta Q^2} \frac{\delta^2 \partial_k \Gamma_k}{\delta (\delta \phi(Q)) \delta (\delta F(-Q))} \Big|_{\phi; (F, \psi, \bar{\psi}, \delta F, \delta \phi) = 0} = \int d\tau \frac{i}{2} \left[\partial_k (\Omega'_k + Y_k) \right]$$
$$= \int d\tau \frac{i}{2} \left[\partial_k X_k \right]$$

• regulator functions: $r_1 = k$, $r_2 = 0$



 Introduction
 Effective Potential

 Derivation of Flow Equations in NNLO
 Energy Gap

 Results
 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Wess-Zumino model in d = 3

Effective Potential

• unbroken SUSY: UV initial conditions:

$$W'_{\Lambda} = e + m\phi + g\phi^2 + a\phi^3, \quad Z'_{\Lambda}(\phi) = 1, \quad Y_{\Lambda} = X_{\Lambda} = 0$$

• running scalar potential $V_k(\phi)$: EOM of F into $\Gamma_{k,\text{off}}$

 $V_k(\phi) = \frac{2}{27Y_k^2} \left(\sqrt{3W_k'Y_k + Z_k'^4} - Z_k'^2 \right) \left(6W_k'Y_k + Z_k'^4 - Z_k'^2 \sqrt{3W_k'Y_k + Z_k'^4} \right)$



 Introduction
 Effective Potential

 Derivation of Flow Equations in NNLO
 Energy Gap

 Results
 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Wess-Zumino model in d = 3

Effective Potential

• unbroken SUSY: UV initial conditions:

$$W'_{\Lambda} = e + m\phi + g\phi^2 + a\phi^3, \quad Z'_{\Lambda}(\phi) = 1, \quad Y_{\Lambda} = X_{\Lambda} = 0$$

• running scalar potential $V_k(\phi)$: EOM of F into $\Gamma_{k,\text{off}}$

 $V_k(\phi) = \frac{2}{27Y_k^2} \left(\sqrt{3W_k'Y_k + Z_k'^4} - Z_k'^2 \right) \left(6W_k'Y_k + Z_k'^4 - Z_k'^2 \sqrt{3W_k'Y_k + Z_k'^4} \right)$



 Introduction
 Effective Potential

 Derivation of Flow Equations in NNLO
 Energy Gap

 Results
 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Wess-Zumino model in d = 3

Effective Potential

• unbroken SUSY: UV initial conditions:

$$W'_{\Lambda} = e + m\phi + g\phi^2 + a\phi^3, \quad Z'_{\Lambda}(\phi) = 1, \quad Y_{\Lambda} = X_{\Lambda} = 0$$

• running scalar potential $V_k(\phi)$: EOM of F into $\Gamma_{k,\text{off}}$

 $V_k(\phi) = \frac{2}{27Y_k^2} \left(\sqrt{3W_k'Y_k + Z_k'^4} - Z_k'^2 \right) \left(6W_k'Y_k + Z_k'^4 - Z_k'^2 \sqrt{3W_k'Y_k + Z_k'^4} \right)$



IntroductionEffective PotentialDerivation of Flow Equations in NNLO
ResultsEnergy Gap
 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Wess-Zumino model in d = 3

Energy Gap

• energy gap $E_1 - E_0$: from pole of propagator at ϕ_{\min} :

$$E_1^2 = \lim_{k \to 0} \left. \frac{2}{X_k^2} \left(Z_k'^4 + X_k W_k'' - Z_k'^2 \sqrt{Z_k'^4 + 2X_k W_k''} \right) \right|_{\phi = \phi_{\min}}$$



• breakdown of NNLO at $g \approx 2$ ($V_k \in \mathbb{C}$, generation of further (large) masses \hookrightarrow Heilmann et al., '12)



IntroductionEffective PotentialDerivation of Flow Equations in NNLO
ResultsEnergy Gap
 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Wess-Zumino model in d = 3

Energy Gap

• energy gap $E_1 - E_0$: from pole of propagator at ϕ_{\min} :



• breakdown of NNLO at $g \approx 2$ ($V_k \in \mathbb{C}$, generation of further (large) masses \hookrightarrow Heilmann et al., '12)

• Goal: analysis of Wilson-Fisher type fixed-point:



- derivation in Minkowski spacetime (\hookrightarrow Synatschke et al, '10) \Rightarrow Wick-rotation \Rightarrow similar flows as in QM
- adequate regulator functional:

$$\Delta S_k[\Phi] = \frac{1}{2} \int dz \Phi \left[2r_1 - Z'(\bar{\Phi})^2 r_2 K \right] \Phi$$

$$\Rightarrow r_1 = 0, r_2 = (k^2/p^2 - 1)\Theta(k^2/p^2 - 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow no \text{ BFA, choose } \bar{\Phi} = \bar{\phi} = \phi_0$$



• Goal: analysis of Wilson-Fisher type fixed-point:



- derivation in Minkowski spacetime (\hookrightarrow Synatschke et al, '10) \Rightarrow Wick-rotation \Rightarrow similar flows as in QM
- adequate regulator functional:

$$\Delta S_k[\Phi] = \frac{1}{2} \int dz \Phi \left[2r_1 - Z'(\bar{\Phi})^2 r_2 K \right] \Phi$$

$$\Rightarrow r_1 = 0, r_2 = (k^2/p^2 - 1)\Theta(k^2/p^2 - 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow no \text{ BFA, choose } \bar{\Phi} = \bar{\phi} = \phi_0$$



• Goal: analysis of Wilson-Fisher type fixed-point:



- derivation in Minkowski spacetime (\hookrightarrow Synatschke et al, '10) \Rightarrow Wick-rotation \Rightarrow similar flows as in QM
- adequate regulator functional:

$$\Delta S_k[\Phi] = \frac{1}{2} \int dz \Phi \left[2r_1 - Z'(\bar{\Phi})^2 r_2 K \right] \Phi$$

$$\Rightarrow r_1 = 0, r_2 = (k^2/p^2 - 1)\Theta(k^2/p^2 - 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow no \text{ BFA, choose } \bar{\Phi} = \bar{\phi} = \phi_0$$



• Goal: analysis of Wilson-Fisher type fixed-point:



- derivation in Minkowski spacetime (\hookrightarrow Synatschke et al, '10) \Rightarrow Wick-rotation \Rightarrow similar flows as in QM
- adequate regulator functional:

$$\Delta S_k[\Phi] = \frac{1}{2} \int dz \Phi \left[2r_1 - Z'(\bar{\Phi})^2 r_2 K \right] \Phi$$

$$\Rightarrow r_1 = 0, r_2 = (k^2/p^2 - 1)\Theta(k^2/p^2 - 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow no \text{ BFA, choose } \bar{\Phi} = \bar{\phi} = \phi_0$$

- dimensionless quantities: $t = \ln(k/\Lambda), \eta = -\frac{d}{dt} \ln \left(Z'^2(\phi_0) \right)$
- $\left[\phi,W,Z',X,Y\right]=\left(\tfrac{1}{2},2,0,-1,-\tfrac{3}{2}\right)\Rightarrow\left[\chi,w,z',x,y\right]$
- asymptotics of FP from canonical & anomalous scaling: $w'_* \sim \chi^{(\frac{3-\eta}{1+\eta})}, z'_* \sim \chi^{-(\frac{\eta}{1+\eta})}, x_* \sim \chi^{-2}, y_* \sim \chi^{-3}$ for $|\chi| \to \infty$
- superscaling relation $\forall d \ge 2$:

	$w'_{*}(0)$	χ_0	η	Θ_0	Θ_1	Θ_2
LPA	-0.0420	0.147		3/2	-0.702	-3.800
NLO	-0.0292	0.150	0.186	1.407	-0.771	-1.642
NNLO	-0.0294	0.149	0.180	1.410	-0.715	-1.490



- dimensionless quantities: $t = \ln(k/\Lambda), \eta = -\frac{d}{dt} \ln \left(Z'^2(\phi_0) \right)$ $[\phi, W, Z', X, Y] = \left(\frac{1}{2}, 2, 0, -1, -\frac{3}{2}\right) \Rightarrow [\chi, w, z', x, y]$
- asymptotics of FP from canonical & anomalous scaling: $w'_* \sim \chi^{(\frac{3-\eta}{1+\eta})}, z'_* \sim \chi^{-(\frac{\eta}{1+\eta})}, x_* \sim \chi^{-2}, y_* \sim \chi^{-3}$ for $|\chi| \to \infty$
- superscaling relation $\forall d \ge 2$:

	$w'_{*}(0)$	χ_0	η	Θ_0	Θ_1	Θ_2
LPA	-0.0420	0.147		3/2	-0.702	-3.800
NLO	-0.0292	0.150	0.186	1.407	-0.771	-1.642
NNLO	-0.0294	0.149	0.180	1.410	-0.715	-1.490



- dimensionless quantities: $t = \ln(k/\Lambda), \eta = -\frac{d}{dt} \ln \left(Z'^2(\phi_0) \right)$ $[\phi, W, Z', X, Y] = \left(\frac{1}{2}, 2, 0, -1, -\frac{3}{2}\right) \Rightarrow [\chi, w, z', x, y]$
- asymptotics of FP from canonical & anomalous scaling: $w'_* \sim \chi^{(\frac{3-\eta}{1+\eta})}, z'_* \sim \chi^{-(\frac{\eta}{1+\eta})}, x_* \sim \chi^{-2}, y_* \sim \chi^{-3}$ for $|\chi| \to \infty$
- superscaling relation $\forall d \ge 2$:

	$w'_{*}(0)$	χ_0	η	Θ_0	Θ_1	Θ_2
LPA	-0.0420	0.147		3/2	-0.702	-3.800
NLO	-0.0292	0.150	0.186	1.407	-0.771	-1.642
NNLO	-0.0294	0.149	0.180	1.410	-0.715	-1.490



- dimensionless quantities: $t = \ln(k/\Lambda), \eta = -\frac{d}{dt} \ln \left(Z'^2(\phi_0) \right)$ $[\phi, W, Z', X, Y] = \left(\frac{1}{2}, 2, 0, -1, -\frac{3}{2}\right) \Rightarrow [\chi, w, z', x, y]$
- asymptotics of FP from canonical & anomalous scaling: $w'_* \sim \chi^{(\frac{3-\eta}{1+\eta})}, z'_* \sim \chi^{-(\frac{\eta}{1+\eta})}, x_* \sim \chi^{-2}, y_* \sim \chi^{-3}$ for $|\chi| \to \infty$
- superscaling relation $\forall d \geq 2$:

	$w'_{*}(0)$	χ_0	η	Θ_0	Θ_1	Θ_2
LPA	-0.0420	0.147		3/2	-0.702	-3.800
NLO	-0.0292	0.150	0.186	1.407	-0.771	-1.642
NNLO	-0.0294	0.149	0.180	1.410	-0.715	-1.490



- IntroductionEffective PotentialDerivation of Flow Equations in NNLOEnergy GapResults $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Wess-Zumino model in d = 3
 - numerical solution of $\partial_t \mathcal{O}_* = 0$ via spectral methods \hookrightarrow poster by B. Knorr
 - no background field approximation (z'(χ₀) = 1 → z'(χ)) since reg. artifically suppressed for |χ| → ∞



- IntroductionEffective PotentialDerivation of Flow Equations in NNLOEnergy GapResults $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Wess-Zumino model in d = 3
 - numerical solution of $\partial_t \mathcal{O}_* = 0$ via spectral methods \hookrightarrow poster by B. Knorr
 - no background field approximation $(z'(\chi_0) = 1 \rightarrow z'(\chi))$ since reg. artifically suppressed for $|\chi| \rightarrow \infty$





• Goal: testing supercovariant derivative expansion

(1) unbroken SUSY QM: E_1 rel. error of 1% in NNLO, very good for weak couplings g

(2) spont. broken SUSY QM: E_0 , rel error of 4% in NLO, very good for strong couplings g



• Goal: testing supercovariant derivative expansion

(1) unbroken SUSY QM: E_1 rel. error of 1% in NNLO, very good for weak couplings g

(2) spont. broken SUSY QM: E_0 , rel error of 4% in NLO, very good for strong couplings g



• Goal: testing supercovariant derivative expansion

(1) unbroken SUSY QM: E_1 rel. error of 1% in NNLO, very good for weak couplings g

(2) spont. broken SUSY QM: E_0 , rel error of 4% in NLO, very good for strong couplings g



• Goal: testing supercovariant derivative expansion

(1) unbroken SUSY QM: E_1 rel. error of 1% in NNLO, very good for weak couplings g

(2) spont. broken SUSY QM: E_0 , rel error of 4% in NLO, very good for strong couplings g



IntroductionEffective PotentialDerivation of Flow Equations in NNLO
ResultsEnergy Gap
 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Wess-Zumino model in d = 3

References

[1] 10.09.2014:

http://fatalphysics.weebly.com/uploads/2/9/7/8/29780301/1297348 orig.jpg

